

IVSC BVB July 2025 Meeting

This IVSC Update highlights preliminary decisions of the IVSC Business Valuation Board (“BVB” or “Board”). Projects affected by these decisions can be found on the ***IVSC Publication Schedule***. The Board's final decisions on IVS® Standards and Amendments as set out in the ***IVSC Standards Review Board Due Process and Working Procedures***.

The Board met virtually in on 29th July 2025, .

STAFF PRESENT

1. Nicolas Konialidis (NK), BVB Technical Director

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Attendance of twelve members

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT :

Three members.

AGENDA

1. Declaration of conflict of interest
2. Record of previous meeting notes.
3. Opening remarks (Chair, Vice-Chair, TD)
4. Discussion of BV Standards Working Paper and proposed amendments
5. Conclusion and AOB

Common Abbreviations	
ACRA-IVAS	ACRA-Institute of Valuers and Appraisers Singapore
ASA	American Society of Appraisers
BV	Business Valuation
BVB	IVSC Business Valuation Board
CBVI	CBV Institute, formerly the Canadian Institute of Chartered Business Valuators
CFAI	CFA Institute
ED	Exposure Draft
ED IVS 28	Exposure Draft for forthcoming IVS revision (Effective January 2028)
ERP	Equity Risk Premium
ESG	Environmental, Social, and Governance factors
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FIB	IVSC Financial Instruments Board
IASB	International Accounting Standards Board
IFAC	International Federation of Accountants
IRAS	Inland Revenue Authority of Singapore
IVS	IVS effective 31 January 2025 (unless specified otherwise)
LVPA	Luxembourg Valuation Professional Association
RICS	Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors
SRB	IVSC Standards Review Board
TAB	IVSC Tangible Asset Board
TD	Technical Director of the BVB (unless specified otherwise)
VPO	Valuation Professional Organisation
WG	Working Group
Where appropriate, countries are mentioned using three letter codes specified in ISO 3166-1	

1. **Declaration of Conflicts of Interest**
 - a. The TD asked attendees if they were aware of any conflict of interest arising from this meeting.
 - b. No attendee reported any conflict of interest.
 - c. Next steps:
 - i. None
 - d. No decision was taken, nor any vote held on this topic.
2. **Record of previous meeting notes.**
 - a. The TD stated that the notes for the previous meeting held in Prague were not ready for approval.
 - b. The Board agreed by consensus to review and approve the notes by email circular upon circulation.
 - c. Further action:
 - i. The TD will circulate the notes as soon as possible.
 - d. No decision was taken, nor any vote held on this topic.
3. **Opening remarks**
 - a. The chair gave an account of the SRB meeting she and the TD attended in Prague on 11th-13th June. At those meetings:
 1. They had updated the SRB about the work of the BVB and specifically, the focus on the revision of the IVS
 2. They communicated to the SRB the work on discount and premiums as well as on the valuation of early-stage companies and had emphasised the fact that there was third party guidance on those topics (AICPA etc.).
 3. They emphasised that members of the BVB were present and active in most working groups.
 - b. The TD stated that the IVSC had a consequential pipeline of perspective papers and publications to release in the coming weeks.
 - c. Next steps:
 - i. None
 - d. No decision was taken, nor any vote held on this topic.
4. **Discussion of BV Standards Working Paper and proposed amendments**
 - a. The TD reiterated that he had circulated two working papers:
 - i. A first attempt to review all BV standards in line with the action plan that had been agreed upon in Prague.
 - ii. A separate paper by two Board members focused on calibration, Scenario-based Methods and the valuation of debt.
 - b. The TD stated that the extent of the revision would be contingent on:

- i. The preponderance of Financial Reporting as a baseline assumption for the intended use of the valuation and
 - ii. The amount of detail and granularity available in the standards.
- c. A Board member pointed out the definition of Intangible Assets assumes a Financial Reporting framework, specifically when it comes to the existence of Goodwill.
- d. Another Board member pointed out that certain powerful stakeholders are advocating more, not less integration with Financial Reporting standards.
- e. A Board member reiterated their view that the IVS should confine themselves to being standards and not attempt to be textbooks.
- f. The Chair recounted that she had stated to the SRB that practitioners of business valuation typically use the general standards and the BV standards together.
 - i. Therefore, any transposition of the general standards' directives into the BV standards would be redundant.
 - ii. The Chair admitted that it is possible that professionals in other specialisms use the standards differently.
- g. A member pointed out that current standards are very prescriptive in some methods, and preconised that that the preponderance of references to financial reporting be reduced.
 - i. Furthermore, it seems that the definition for intangible assets is aligned with financial reporting through the requirement for separability.
- h. Another member pointed out that the methods in IVS 210 can be used in other settings than financial reporting.
- i. The Chair mentioned the existence of the joint Intangible Asset valuation guide promulgated by several VPO stakeholders as an example of complementarity between the IVS and practitioners. In addition, the guide had apparently originated with IPOS for purposes other than Financial Reporting.
- j. Several Board members volunteered to examine IVS 210 and provide a working draft to the rest of the Board.
- k. The Board agreed to work on IVS 210 before proceeding to any changes on the other BV standards.
- l. A Board member stated that a draft document including proposed changes and insertions on Calibration, Scenario Based Methods and the valuation of debt were forthcoming.
- m. A Board member for Europe pointed out that the topic of the valuation of debt is important since policy makers are paying attention to it, especially since many portfolios are valuing debt on an amortised cost basis
- n. There were no comments on those notes.
- o. Further action:
 - i. None.

- p. No decision was taken, nor any vote held on this topic.

5. **Conclusion and AOB**

- a. The BVB discussed logistics for the upcoming physical Board meeting, IVSC AGM and Conference in New Delhi in October 2025.
- b. There was no other business to discuss.
- c. Next steps:
 - i. The TD will liaise with IVSC staff and other individuals in India to facilitate logistics for the upcoming meeting in New Delhi.
- d. No other decision was taken, nor any vote held on this topic.

END OF MEETING